

The road to developing performance standards in Europe for low cost sensors-Part 1: Example of implementation of an existing reference instrument standardisation method

Nicholas A Martin (nick.martin@npl.co.uk) EPA AIR SENSORS 2018 25<sup>th</sup> June 2018



## Introduction

- What is NPL and what does it do?
- European Standardisation Activities and NPL's role
- How are instruments type-tested at NPL and certified?
- Why do we need a different approach for low cost sensors?
- Conclusions





### What is NPL and what does it do?



- Founded in 1900, UK's NMI, respected centre of excellence in research and development, including applications in environmental monitoring
- NPL sits at the heart of an important infrastructure designed to ensure accuracy and consistency with traceability in all physical measurements, in support of business and society
- Government owned, government operated ("GOGO") with ~1000 personnel (scientists, students, and maintenance)
- International role in standardisation, developing laboratory and field test facilities, traceable Primary Standard gas Mixtures (PSMs), runs AQ networks (and QA/QC)





# **European Standardisation Activities**

- Goal of European Commission is harmonised implementation of AQ legislation in EU through responsible bodies and by use of reference measurement methods
- European Committee for Standardization, Comité Européen de Normalisation (CEN)
- NPL is well represented on TC264 which is the standardisation committee responsible for all European documentary standards on emissions to air and ambient air measurements
- "Translating" technical requirements of EU Directives into European Standards carried out by the various WGs including WG42 on AQ Sensors, WG11(diffusive samplers), WG12 (benzene)



- Continuous ambient monitors (CAMs) generate measurements with the lowest uncertainties to comply with EU AQ Directives (2008/50/EC and its daughters) [REFERENCE METHODS defined]
- Comprehensive, pollutant-specific, and rigorous typetesting procedures carried out before the method is allowed to be used in AQ Networks that report monitoring data to the European Commission
- Tests in accordance with UK certification scheme called MCERTS and the harmonised **European Standard** EN14662-3 (for benzene), **BSI Standards Publication** by accredited laboratories Ambient air — Standard method for the measurement of benzene concentrations (e.g., ISO17025) Part 3: Automated pumped sampling with

BS EN 14662-3:2015

in situ gas chromatography



- Procedures include laboratory tests covering extremes of conditions and field tests lasting three months
- 2 instruments tested at key benzene concentrations: 1/10xLV, LV, Span

Performance characteristic	Performance criterion	Performance characteristic	Performance criterion
Repeatability standard deviation at 10% of AL	≤ 0.20 μg/m³	Interference of H <sub>2</sub> O at 19 mmol/mol (80% RH) for AL	≤0.015 µg/m³/mmol/mol
Repeatability standard deviation at AL	≤ 0.25 μg/m³	Interference of organic compound mixture for AL	≤ 0.50 μg/m³
Lack of fit	≤ 5% of measured value	Carry over (memory effect)	≤1.0 μg/m³
Sensitivity coefficient of sample gas pressure	≤ 0.40 µg/m³/kPa	Short term drift at span level	≤ 2.0 µg/m³ over 12 h
Sensitivity coefficient of surrounding temperature	≤ 0.08 μg/m³/K	Difference sample/calibration port	≤1%
Sensitivity coefficient of electrical voltage	≤ 0.08 μg/m³/V		













- Test results for the performance criteria are used to calculate individual standard uncertainty components (µg /m<sup>3</sup>), including the calibration gas
- Combined uncertainty, u<sub>c</sub>, calculated by summing in quadrature:

$$u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i^2}$$

- Then divide by Annual Limit for benzene (5 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Expanded uncertainty at 95% confidence level, U= 2 x u<sub>c</sub>
- Must be less than ± 25% as required by EU Directives for benzene reference measurements
- PASS (Report -> Certification Body CSA awards MCERTS)

# Why we need a different approach for low cost sensors



National Physical Laboratory

- Used for atmospheric modelling and predicting air pollution in real time, air management for "intelligent buildings" to reduce energy consumption, adaptive air control systems on aircraft, neighbourhood "citizen science" projects
- Limited data already available suggests that many systems perform less well than has been claimed by their manufacturers, peer review process bypassed, IP retained
- WG42 (since 2015) TS "Performance evaluation of sensors for the determination of concentrations of gaseous pollutants and particulate matter in ambient air."

# Why do we need a different approach for low cost sensors?



Pollutant	Required uncertainty of reference methods	Expanded uncertainty of indicative methods (Class 1 sensor system)	Expanded uncertainty of objective estimations (Class 2 sensor system)	Expanded uncertainty of Class 3 sensor system
SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> /NO <sub>x</sub> , CO	15%	25%	75%	N/A
Benzene	25%	30%	100%	N/A
PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub>	25%	50%	100%	N/A
O <sub>3</sub>	15%	30%	100%	N/A
Thresholds <sup>†</sup>	>UAT	UAT<[] <lat< td=""><td><lat< td=""><td>N/A</td></lat<></td></lat<>	<lat< td=""><td>N/A</td></lat<>	N/A

<sup>†</sup>UAT = Upper Assessment Threshold, LAT = Lower Assessment Threshold, [] = concentration. **EU DQO (2008/50/EC)** 

- Current methodology focusses on the measurement of a pollutant, using a well-characterised measuring system
- A network of sensor systems is more than just the sum of its individual nodes
- Information at one node of the network can be exchanged with other nodes, and used to make inferences at nearby nodes

# Conclusions



- Current robust approach to the certification of reference instruments needs to be adapted to meet the new requirements of networks of low cost sensors
- Standardisation will overcome market barriers by defining performance requirements to demonstrate that they are fit for purpose for a range of applications (so results are accepted by stakeholders)
- Promote research into new technologies (not just fine tuning of existing methods) because developers will see a potential market to pay for their investment
- To maximise the potential benefits new thinking is required to take into account linkages between sensor systems

